# Ontology support for Management System Audit Programs

Protégé Assisted Management System Auditing

A. Gehrmann, S. Ishizu Aoyama Gakuin University, Japan Auditing and audit programs

- Caution: The term audit is used in many domains: Management, Computer security, Finance etc.,
- We refer to Management System Audits <u>as defined</u> in <u>ISO 19011:2002</u>:
  - <u>systematic</u>, independent and <u>documented process</u> for <u>obtaining audit evidence</u> and <u>evaluating</u> it objectively to <u>determine the extent</u> to which <u>audit criteria</u> are fulfilled; ISO 19011:2002 clause 3.1 audit

A set of audits for a defined purpose constitutes an <u>audit program</u>; e.g. evaluation of effectiveness of management system

## Problem and approach

- <sup>3<sup>rd</sup></sup> Party Management System Auditing is criticized for not delivering values; we see the difficulty to deal with organizational complexity as one main obstacle to valueadding auditing We understand the management of
- complexity of organizations as a main factor for improvement and propose the use of an audit ontology and protégé for enhancing the value of auditing

Drigins of complexity in 3 rd party auditing

.Third party auditors have to deal with hundred of less familiar domain concepts in a very short time, but as human beings can cope only with 7 (+/- 2) concepts at a time

Management standards are generic in nature and give raise to many interpretational issues, therefore fundamental concepts such as Quality, Contract, Design Integrity and Availability of Information assets lacking often on clarity in the context of an organization and are not shared consistently between the auditee and the auditors; leads to conceptual inconsistencies / clashes

Many requirements might be applicable : Quality and Information Security, IT risk management based, Quality Manuals, Internal Procedures, Auditee's client's specification, Auditee's client's quality procedures Demand on documentation is high Organizational complexity is high (horizontal, vertical) Auditing needs team communication

### Conceptual clashes: Availability SP800-30 (Appendix A): SP800-30 (Ap

he <u>security goal</u> that generates the equirement for protection against ntentional or accidental attempts to

- Perform unauthorized deletion of data or
- Otherwise cause a denial of service or data
- Unauthorized use of system

resources

denerates\*

No unauthorized reads

isa

isa

NoUnauthorized deletion

isa

<u>ensuring</u> that authorized users <u>have access</u> to information and associated assets when required

deniesAccessFor\*

NotAuthorizedUser (NA)

ensuresAccess

AuthorizedUser (NA)

III Classes & Instances	PAL Constraints 🛛 🧿	Jambalaya 🛛 🔅 Individuals 🛛	PAL Queries		
C)) Classes	S Slots	Forms	Instance:	s TGVi:	zTab Oi
				<b>F</b> actorial Control (	
	SecurityGoal ()			Ensuringinformatio	on ()
	isa generate	es* isa		isa	isa
		_ \			
Confidentiality ()	ProtectionRequiremen	t Availability ()	Confid	dentiality.17799 (2.1)	vailability.17799 (2.1)

isa

denerates\*

denerates\*

NoUnauthorized use

generates\*

NoDOS or data

#### Maiting as on-going knowledge acquisition with Protege



### Auditing as on-going knowledge acquisition with proteg





### Auditing as on-going knowledge acquisition with protégo



Auditing as on-going knowledge acquisition with protégo



# -Total Business Information Systems Ltd.-



5 Levels, 50 Engineers, 10 technical assistants, 10 clerical staff Service: Total network solutions including information security solution

# The task ahead

- 12 Interviews at 5 levels covering variety of engineering fields
- Time available is limited to 3 working days
- 2 auditors
- CEO is non-technician, lawyer
- Managers: Former Hacker, MBA
- Students, Part-timer, non-technical clerics
- 300 pages internal procedures and Management standard

#### Identify Applicable Requirement

Interpret Requirement In context

Select Right Level in organization

Select Right interviewee

Gather facts

Verify Common Understanding

Move in Organization

Link information

Confirm findings



### **TBIS** structure

# -organizational units-

#### Identify Applicable Requirement

Interpret Requirement In context

Select Right Level in organization

Select Right interviewee

Conduct interview, Gather facts

Verify Common Understanding

Move in Organization

Link information

Confirm findings

step Seec	tina storec		ments -
Split	Finance Develalse)		iction(raise)
Clarification	TYPE V + - C AuditInterview(false)	Site	V C + -
dit V C + -	ByTeam C () QMSSpecification) C () QMSSpecification) C (4) QualityManagementSyst C (5) Management responsib C (7) Product realization)	tem) ility)	
ing V C +	<ul> <li>C +</li> <li>C (7.1) Planning of product</li> <li>P C (7.2) Customer-related</li> <li>P C (7.2.1) Determinatio</li> <li>C (1) Post-delivery a</li> <li>C (1) Determine)</li> <li>P C (7.2.2) Review of rec</li> <li>C (7.2.3) Customer co</li> <li>C (7.3) Design and develor</li> <li>C (7.4) Purchasing)</li> <li>C (7.5) Production and se</li> <li>C (7.6) Control of monitori</li> <li>C (8) Measurement, analysis</li> <li>C (6) Resource management</li> </ul>	:t realization) processes) n of requirements related to the product.9k activities) auirements related to the product.9k1) mmunication.9k1) opment) rvice provision) ing and measuring devices) and improvement)	
tatement		✓ OK X Cancel	<b>#</b>
		ReviewedDocu	umentedProcedure V C + -

Identify Applicable Requirement

terpret Requirement In context of a process

Select Right Level in organization

Select Right interviewee

Gather facts

Verify Common Understanding

Move in Organization

Link information

Confirm findings

Step	V C + - Name	Next Audit Step/Document 🛛 🗸 C 🛛 + 🖉 –
Split	© (7.2.1 ) Determination of requirements related to the	product.9k1) (type=RequirementMeta.gmpo, name 📃 🗖 🗙
Clarification	Determination of requirements related to the product.9k1	CLASS COCCESSES and 7.2.1 Completed Problem
dit	RequirementType V C - ReaCtive	/ities
		related to the product.9k1
co Documor	Class Requirement Documentation	
ce Documen	The organization shall determine	
	<ul> <li>a) requirements specified by the customer, including the requireme</li> <li>b) requirements not stated by the customer but necessary for specific) statutory and regulatory requirements related to the product, and</li> <li>d) any additional requirements determined by the organization.</li> </ul>	nts for delivery and post-delivery activities, fied or intended use, where known,
ina		Select Concrete Cis
		C MeasureProcess() C AnalyseProcess() C IdentifyControlOfOutsourcedProcesses.9k1 () C ReviewActivity()
tatement	RequiredActivity/Process V C + - Re	<ul> <li> <b>♀</b>- <b>℃</b> Determine and Define()      </li> <li> <b>ⓒ</b> DefineEvaluationRequirementsForAction         </li> <li> <b>ⓒ</b> Define requirements for determining pote         </li> <li> <b>ⓒ</b> DetermineNecessaryCompetence()         </li> </ul>
		C DetermineInfrastructure()     C DetermineRequiredInspection()     C DetermineRequiredValidation()     C DetermineMethodsForObtainingCustome     D Determine reserves of evidences that recul
		C Determine required monitoring activities     C Determine need to establish processes(     C DetermineCommunicationArrangement)

Identify Applicable Requirement

Interpret Requirement In context

Select Right Level in organization

Select Right interviewee

Conduct interview, Gather facts

Verify Common Understanding

Move in Organization

Link information

Confirm findings

itep	V C + -	Name			1	lext Audit Step/Document	V C + -
Split		Finance Dep	(false)			IT Security Section(false)	
larification	R	C AuditInter	rding- view(false)	an i	inte	Tokyo Head Quarter	V C + -
lit	V C + -	ByTeam		V C +	-		
dAudit		🕸 Gehrman	n				]
ce Document	V	C + -	ReviewedRequirement © (7.2.1 ) Determination	of requirement	V + -	Deals with Product	V C + -
ng	V C +		Need-follow Up			Organization	V C + -
		Shit	tName			FinanceTBIS Ltd.	
		NA					
atement			AuditorStatement				
			Which legal requirements privacy are applicable to y	concerning info ou?	rmation		
			In which quality managen participate?	nent processes	do you		
						ReviewedDacumentedDrace	

Identify Applicable Requirement

Interpret Requirement In context

Select Right Level in organization

Select Right interviewee

Gather facts

#### Refer to controlled concepts

Move in Organization

Link information

Confirm findings



#### Identify Applicable Requirement

Interpret Requirement In context

Select Right Level in organization

Select Right interviewee

Gather facts

Verify Common Understanding

Move in Organization

Link information

Confirm findings



#### Identify Applicable Requirement

Interpret Requirement In context

Select Right Level in organization

Select Right interviewee

Gather facts

Verify Common Understanding

Move in Organization

Link information

Confirm findings

📕 🗠 🍋	🥦 👫 😹 A	R							
Classes & Instance	s PAL Constraints	🗿 Jambalaya	1 Individuals	PAL Queries					
C)) Classes		udit	CON	sole	estances	Pr	ofe	<b>Sole</b>	Ontovi
dAudit	Mr.I.T.Perfect		C Procurem	ent					
			Finance						
ard V C – A	uditScope	V C +	- Technical:	Service					
	> Provision of Service	s and components	f 🛈 Hardware	SectionTechnic	alService				
			Software S	SectionTechnica SectionTechnica	alService Service				
eam V	C + - Product		NetworkIT	Security Sectio	nTechnicalSe	rvice			
com rmannKobavashi		uork Design	Developm	entIT Security Se	ectionTechnic	alService			
in manimus by	C Cons	ultancy for Proces	I						
			<u> </u>						
Step		tional						V C +	- 🗙 🖧
	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +		+ + + + + +		- + + + - - + + + +	* * * * *	+ + + +	- + + +	+ + + +
	· · · · · · · ·	Dept(false)	+ + + + + +	Section(false) +		* * * *	+ + + +	- + + +	+ + + +
	+ + + + + + +	<b>A</b> + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + he	xtStep++	+ + +	+ + + + + +	+ + +	+ + +
A 100 + + +	+ + + + + nextS	tep <sub>+ + + +</sub> +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	- + Teo	hnical m	extStep	, nextStep	Audit
Opening + r	nextStep Team + +	- + + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	(f	alse) +	+ + + +	- + + +	Meeting +
	+ + + Split	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+nextStep + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + + +	- + + +	+ + +
<b>*</b>	+ + + + + + +	Procumen	t Dept. + + +	+ + + + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	- + + +	+ + + +
	+ + + + + + +	+ + (taisi	B) + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	- + + +	+ + + +
	+ + + + + + + +	· + + + + + +	-+ + + + + +	+ + + + +	· + + + ·	+ + + +	+ + + +	- + + +	+ + + +
		- <del></del>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
onclusion		C + – Note							
cess approach wee	!k								
nual statement 57/7	0 preventive action ()								
idling of non-conforr	nity								
💀 Administr	rator 3 12 11·23		😹 🐼 🖓 Admini	strator, 3 09 17:	34 333 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				

#### Identify Applicable Requirement

Interpret Requirement In context

Select Right Level in organization

Select Right interviewee

Gather facts

Verify Common Understanding

Move in Organization

Review situations, Link information

Confirm findings



#### Identify Applicable Requirement

Interpret Requirement In context

Select Right Level in organization

Select Right interviewee

Gather facts

Verify Common Understanding

Move in Organization

Review situations, Link information

Confirm findings

Summary - Key functions of an audit ontology Conduct systematically the or Protégé for systematic audit conduct and planning; Protégé as organizer

Document audit process for obtaining audit evidence Evaluating evidence

Determine the extent to which the audit criteria are fulfilled

- Protégé as documentation tool
- Protégé as evaluation support tool
- Protégé for keeping track audit findings

Solutions for coping with complexity with a Protégé audit ontology

 Protégé helps to organize concepts and make it possible to manage hundreds of them at a time
 An audit ontology helps to identify conceptual clashes and helps to understand generic concepts in the context

3. Audit requirements are retrievable and their relationship are linked to concepts and required activities

Audit documentation can be prepared on the fly by using transformation for XML documents

Teams can exchange ontologies for improved communication

Organizational complexity can be managed by using an organizational model in the audit ontology

### Usability of an audit ontology in protege

se/Phase	Description	Benefits	Obstacles
udit planning	Modeling of organization structure and organizational artifact	Fast understanding by visualization and taxonomies	Requires understanding of ontology concepts
n-site audit	Creation of instances of organization concepts Linking artifacts	Auditors have pre- defined concepts available	Requires a reasonable degre of skill to use protégé Speed problems.
udit ocumentation	Is required but not a purpose in itself	Knowledge base stored in XML Audit findings and conclusions extracted	Need customization of user interface/print/representatio
ommunication thin team	Necessary for auditing in a team	Instantaneous	High technical requirement Understandability of knowledge representation
e-usability of nowledge	Currently not the focus of auditing; missed chance	Domain vocabulary can be extended Usage in IT projects Part of system	none

### Future applications / expectations

Expectation about features of protégé :

- Speed improvements (drawing, visualization)
- Possibility for customizing interface for knowledge acquisation
- Build-in documentation customizing
- Implementation in OWL for reasoning and consistency Remote login and sharing ontology over distributed clients
- Import of industry ontologies SUO
- Mobile devices: tablet computer
- Protégé as server component for customized clients tool (files) for simplifying interface

