

# Common Features of Killer Apps: *A Comparison with Protégé*

Harith Alani, Kieron O'Hara, and Nigel Shadbolt

# Killer Apps!

- What are they?
  - Highly transformative technologies that create new markets and wide spread patterns of behaviour
- The term “Killer App” was first used in the mid-1980s to describe Lotus 1-2-3, once demand for it become the major driver for buying IBM PCs

# Semantic Web Killer App?

- A very common question:
  - *Where is the Killer App for the SW?*
- Many suggestions have be made:

What about Adobe that supports RDF?!

It's all about the connections stupid!

The semantic web IS the killer app!

What's your SW KApp

Winners of Semantic Web Challenge must be KApps right?

Nooo! It's Haystack

Integration, integration, integration

No it's the integration idiot!

I think SW Services are the SW KApps!

foafCORP is neat!

# Understanding Killer Apps!

- Killer apps don't need advertising!
- Not any application can qualify as a killer!
- Applications must fulfil some requirements or possess some features to have the chance of becoming a Killer App
- Understanding those requirements and features might help building more successful applications
- A peek in the worlds of business and economy might help finding out what those features are

# Features of Killer Apps

- Most of the features we found are pretty obvious! But it's surprising how most applications ignore them!
- Protégé is used as an example of a successful application
- We compare between some of the general features of KillerApps, and those of Protégé
- Protégé is not a KillerApp for the Semantic Web, but it's certainly a KillerApp for ontology editing

# Superiority

- Must provide higher service quality (eg email vs snail mail, broadband vs dial-up)
  - What will your semantic web application give me that I can not get elsewhere?
  - How is this better?
- Must show clear advantage over competitor products
  - Can I get the same functionality using other, cheaper, technology?
  - Can you demonstrate how difficult, if not impossible, it is to build this service using more traditional technologies?
  - Is the cost of migrating to this technology well justified?
- Protégé
  - Competitors include OntoEdit, Ontolingua, WebOnto, OilEd, KAON, etc
  - Comparison reported in Ontological Engineering, Springer 2004, showed many superior features of Protégé

# Cost vs Benefit

- Cost-benefit analysis is essential
  - Cost of construction, conversion, maintenance, etc.
- KApps tend to be cheaper than alternative products. The more affordable it is, the more users it will attract
  - How costly it is to use this technology in the short and longer term?
- Many examples of free KApps; eg web browsers, search engines, chat software. They rely on their large user communities to generate value (eg from online ads, subscriptions to advanced services)
  - How can you generate value from your service/application?
- Protégé
  - Absolutely free!
  - For users, it helps to bring down costs of ontology editing and maintenance
  - For developers, apparently not much income has been generated

# Community of Practice

- Metcalfe's law: *utility of a network equals approximately the square number of its users*
  - Explains value of networked applications such as telephone, email, chat software
  - Core to the SW
- Must have potential to create a community of users
  - How can our application encourage community building?
  - How do you support, interact with, and listen to your users?
- Protégé
  - Over 27k registered users so far
  - Well attended conferences and busy mailing lists
  - Very good technical support for its user community
  - Users can build and share plugins



# Open System

- A system draws additional value from other systems when its open to direct interaction with them
  - Reduces cost of data conversion and technology transfer
  - Propose supporting technology, rather than alternatives!
- Openness is at the heart of the SW
  - Will your application help to bring more RDF to the SW?
- Protégé
  - One of Protégé's main advantages is its extendibility
  - Open source
  - Great value is added to Protégé from external, free, contributions (plugins)

# Ease of Use

- Easy to use, non complex apps gets used more than others
  - No steep learning curves (imagine if you cant use the Web before learning HTML!)
  - Don't expect users to know RDF or anything about ontologies
- Protégé
  - Ease of use is one of the main focuses of Protégé
  - Graphical interface
  - Not much knowledge of RDF or OWL syntax is required
  - Important to facilitate OWL editing even further (eg ezOWL)

# Personalisation

- Users are more loyal to customisable services
  - But it has to be done properly!
  - Many of today's killer apps have some level of personalisation (eg Amazon, AutoTrader, rightmove, eBay, pogo)
- Protégé
  - Customisable data entry forms
  - Some personalised settings are stored
  - What more can be offered?

# Protégé: Further Issues

# Scalability

- We are starting to see systems with small ontologies, but with a large number of instances
  - Eg CSAktiveSpace, winner of 2003 SWC, around 80 concepts, 25M triples
  - Flink, 2004 SWC winner, FOAF-like ontology, 35M triples
- Protégé
  - Main design goals were interoperability and ease of use
  - Some triple-stores are designed for scale; eg 3store, Sesame, and Kowari
  - We often see users building their ontologies in Protégé, then migrating them to another triple store for deployment
  - Could we have the best of both worlds in one system? Or get a better integration of Protégé with such stores?

# Language Support

- Support for Semantic Web languages, such as RDF and OWL is crucial
- Protégé
  - Has always been amongst the first to provide support for such languages
  - Some Protégé-specific RDF syntax has been added for more detailed representations
  - As for OWL, some parsing incompatibilities can be spotted against Jena and SWOOP

File Edit Project OWL Wizards Code Window Help

OWLClasses Properties Forms Individuals

### SUBCLASS RELATIONSHIP

For Project: koala

#### Asserted Hierarchy

- owl:Thing
  - Animal
    - Marsupials
      - Koala
        - KoalaWithPhD
        - Quokka
        - TasmanianDevil
      - Parent
        - Person
          - Student
            - GraduateStudent
            - MaleStudentWith3Daughters
  - Degree
  - Female
  - Gender
  - Habitat
    - Forest
      - DryEucalyptForest
      - Rainforest
    - University
  - Male

SWOOP v2.2.1

File View Bookmarks Resource Holder Advanced About

Address: http://protege.stanford.edu/plugins/owl/owl-library/koala.owl#Student

### Ontology List

koala.owl

☒ Show Inherited ☒ Changes/Annotations ☒ Editable

Concise Format Abstract Syntax RDF/XML Turtle

#### OWL-Class: koala:Student

**Intersection of:** (Add) [koala:Person](#) (Delete) [\(exists koala:isHardWorking . {"true"^^<xsd:boolean>}\)](#) (Delete) [\(exists koala:hasHabitat . koala:University\)](#) (Delete)

**Superclass of:** (Add) [koala:GraduateStudent](#) (Delete)

**Annotations:** (Add)

**Union of:** (Add)

**One of:** (Add)

**Equivalent to:** (Add)

**Complement of:** (Add)

Apply Changes Undo Changes

Lookup ☐ All Ontologies?

#### Class Tree

- owl:Thing
  - koala:Animal
    - koala:Marsupials
      - koala:Koala
      - koala:Quokka
      - koala:TasmanianDevil
    - koala:Parent
      - koala:Person
        - koala:Student
          - koala:GraduateStudent
    - koala:Degree
    - koala:Female
    - koala:Gender
    - koala:Habitat
      - koala:Forest
        - koala:DryEucalyptForest
        - koala:Rainforest
      - koala:University
    - koala:KoalaWithPhD
    - koala:Male
    - koala:MaleStudentWith3Daughters
    - koala:Student
      - koala:GraduateStudent



# Publishing and Access

- Online access to knowledge is essential for the Semantic Web
- Sesame, 3Store, and many other triple stores are designed for online querying and access using latest SW query languages such as RDQL and SPARQL
- Protégé
  - No direct support to these querying languages
  - No easy method for online access to knowledge base ... that I know of!



# Semantic Web Challenge

- Currently mainly focussing on the use of core SW characteristics
- Future calls might wish to include some of the KApp features discussed here

A Semantic Web Application has to meet the following minimal requirements.

1. First, the information sources used
  - should be geographically distributed,
  - should have diverse ownerships (i.e. there is no control of evolution),
  - should be heterogeneous (syntactically, structurally, and semantically), and
  - should contain real world data, i.e. are more than toy examples.
2. Second, it is required that all applications assume an open world, i.e. assume that the information is never complete.
3. Finally, the applications should use some formal description of the meaning of the data.

Besides the minimal criteria, a number of desires are formulated. The more desires are met, the higher an application can score. The desires are:

- The application uses data sources for other purposes or in another way than originally intended
- Using the contents of multi-media documents
- Accessibility in multiple languages
- Accessibility via devices other than the PC
- Other applications than pure information retrieval
- Combination of static and dynamic knowledge (e.g. combination of static ontologies and dynamic work-flows)
- The results should be as accurate as possible (e.g. use a ranking of results according to validity)
- The application should be scalable (in terms of the amount of data used and in terms of distributed components working together)

# In Summary

- It's difficult to predict where new killers will come from
- However, the history of killer apps makes it likely that any SW killers will have to provide:
  - a service that is not possible or practical under more traditional technologies
  - some clear benefit to developers, data providers, and end users with minimum extra costs
  - an application that becomes indispensable to a user-base much wider than the SW researchers community

El Fin!

Leave you with some *funding*  
ideas ....

*advertising with Protégé ....*

File Edit Project OWL Wizards Code Window Help

OWLClasses
Properties
Forms
Individuals
Metadata

SUBCLASS RELATIONSHIP
For Project: eBay

Asserted Hierarchy

- owl:Thing
  - Bid
  - Feedback
  - Item
  - Member

CLASS EDITOR
For Class: Member (instance of owl:Class)

Name
SameAs
DifferentFrom

Member

rdfs:comment

Member of eBay. Could be an individual person or a group (eg organisation, company).

Annotations

Property	Value	Lang
	ebay.co.uk	
	Adidas Trainers	
	Digital Cameras	
	Laptops under £500	
	Gucci	
	CDs from 99p	
	PS2	
	Pay As You Go Mobile Phones	
	Tickets	
	Denim Jeans from £20	
	BMW	

Asserted
Inferred

Asserted Conditions

owl:Thing

NECESSARY & SUFFICIENT
NECESSARY

Properties

- ☐ gave\_feedback (multiple Feedback)
- ☐ has\_address\_location (single String)
- ☐ has\_eBay\_ID (single String)
- ☐ has\_number\_of\_negative\_feedbacks (single integer)
- ☐ has\_percent\_negative\_feedback (multiple String)
- ☐ has\_percent\_neutral\_feedback (multiple String)
- ☐ has\_percent\_positive\_feedback (single float)
- ☐ membership\_starting\_date (single date)
- ☐ received\_feedback (multiple Feedback)
- ☐ selling\_item (multiple Item)

Disjoints

Logic View
Properties View

eBay Protégé 3.0 (file:\C:\Program%20Files\Protege\_3.0\_beta\examples\ebay\ebay.pprj, OWL Files (.owl or .rdf))

File Edit Project OWL Wizards Code Window Help

owl:Thing  
Bid  
Feedback (1)  
Item (2)  
Member (2)

CLASS BROWSER

For Project: eBay

owl:Thing  
Bid  
Feedback (1)  
Item (2)  
Member (2)

INSTANCE BROWSER

For Class: Member

keenMember  
newMember

INDIVIDUAL EDITOR

For Individual: keenMember (instance of Member)

Name SameAs DifferentFrom

keenMember

rdfs:comment

**Match Found:**  
You know Stuart who knows Linda who works with Susan who is a Protégé user. Then: *you should date Susan!*

Annotations

Find your perfect date, online today!

Location: Women Men Age: 22 to 45 Search

has\_address\_location Southampton

has\_eBay\_ID keenMember19

membership\_starting\_date May 8, 2003

has\_number\_of 0

has\_percent\_neutral 100.0

has\_percent\_negative 0

has\_percent\_neutral

gave\_feedback feedback1

received\_feedback

selling\_item Laptop

Stuff about people!







*bring back the Nerd!*



*and create the Nerd's Mini Mall ....*

## Purchasing for the Nerd

**Women's Clothing**
[Go to Men's](#)

[Tops](#)
[Bottoms](#)
[Outfits](#)
[Hats](#)
[Costumes](#)

[Mini Mail](#)
[My Closet](#)

[Previous](#)
[1](#)
[2](#)
[3](#)
[Next](#)

sort by: [Name](#) | [Amount](#) | [Release Date](#)

<p><a href="#">A Little Lace</a></p> <p><b>\$10</b></p> <p><a href="#">Try</a> <a href="#">Get</a></p>	<p><a href="#">Baseball Jersey</a></p> <p><b>\$10</b></p> <p><a href="#">Try</a> <a href="#">Get</a></p>	<p><a href="#">Basketball Jersey</a></p> <p><b>\$15</b></p> <p><a href="#">Try</a> <a href="#">Get</a></p>	<p><a href="#">Bikini Top</a></p> <p><b>\$55</b></p> <p><a href="#">Try</a> <a href="#">Get</a></p>	<p><a href="#">Blingy Thingy</a></p> <p><b>\$35</b></p> <p><a href="#">Try</a> <a href="#">Get</a></p>
<p><a href="#">Canary Floral Blouse</a></p> <p><b>\$25</b></p> <p><a href="#">Try</a> <a href="#">Get</a></p>	<p><a href="#">Caribbean Moonlight</a></p> <p><b>\$10</b></p> <p><a href="#">Try</a> <a href="#">Get</a></p>	<p><a href="#">Classic White</a></p> <p><b>\$15</b></p> <p><a href="#">Try</a> <a href="#">Get</a></p>		
<p><a href="#">Dickinson</a></p> <p><b>\$15</b></p> <p><a href="#">Try</a> <a href="#">Get</a></p>	<p><a href="#">Divine Diva</a></p> <p><b>\$25</b></p> <p><a href="#">Try</a> <a href="#">Get</a></p>	<p><a href="#">Dottie</a></p> <p><b>\$25</b></p> <p><a href="#">Try</a> <a href="#">Get</a></p>	<p><a href="#">Fuchsia Shawl</a></p> <p><b>\$35</b></p> <p><a href="#">Try</a> <a href="#">Get</a></p>	<p><a href="#">Gauzy Chemise</a></p> <p><b>\$10</b></p> <p><a href="#">Try</a> <a href="#">Get</a></p>

[Previous](#)
[1](#)
[2](#)
[3](#)
[Next](#)

sort by: [Name](#) | [Amount](#) | [Release Date](#)

[Close](#)

[+](#)
[-](#)
[F](#)
[T](#)

**Annotations**

Property	Value	Lang
	Member of eBay. Could be an...	

or a group (eg

**Properties**

- ☐ gave\_feedback (multiple Feedback)
- ☐ has\_address\_location (single String)
- ☐ has\_eBay\_ID (single String)
- ☐ has\_number\_of\_negative\_feedbacks (single i
- ☐ has\_percent\_negative\_feedback (multiple Stri
- ☐ has\_percent\_neutral\_feedback (multiple String
- ☐ has\_percent\_positive\_feedback (single float)
- ☐ membership\_starting\_date (single date)
- ☐ received\_feedback (multiple Feedback)
- ☐ selling\_item (multiple item)

**Disjoints**

Logic View Properties View

### Payment methods accepted

- Personal cheque
- Postal Order or Banker's Draft

[Learn about payment methods.](#)

[Close](#)

*Or a mini mall for ontologies ....*



**SUBCLASS RELATIONSHIP**

For Project: ● eBay

Asserted Hierarchy

- owl:Thing
  - Bid
  - Feedback
  - Item
  - Member

**Special Offers**

1. We have a buy 1 get 2 free offer that lasts forever,so hurry before its too late.
2. Use the AKT Ref Onto and you could win up to 200 EUR!  
Note: AKT is not responsible for any damages that might happen as a result of using this ontology.
3. Buy the Voodoo ontology and get 2 grams of lion hair absolutely free.

Close

**ontology mini mall**

Special Offers

Ontology	Value
Wine ontology	Free!
OntoClean	10 EUR
Gene Ontology	120 EUR
Dublin Core	2 EUR
AKT Ref. Ontology	30 EUR
GIM Ontology	10 EUR
GLIF	7 EUR
HL7-RIM	5 EUR
CRM Ontology	40 EUR
REA Ontology	12 EUR
UPML	6 EUR
BioPAX	20 EUR
Voodoo Ontology	32 EUR

OK Cancel

**Selected**

You have t

**Wine onto**

Amount du

Current users of this onto: **12643912091**

---

Thank you for using our  
Ontology Mini Mall

Close

Value	Lang
of eBay. Could be an...	

(multiple Feedback)

cation (single String)

single String)

negative\_feedbacks (single i

gative\_feedback (multiple Stri

utral\_feedback (multiple String

sitive\_feedback (single float)

ting\_date (single date)

ck (multiple Feedback)

multiple item)